arXiv:cond-mat/9302027v1 18 Feb 1993

Even and odd-frequency pairing correlations in 1-D t-J-h model: a comparative study

A. V. Balatsky
Center for Materials Science and Theoretical Division, T-11,
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545 and
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia

J. Bonča
Theoretical Division, T-11, Center for Nonlinear Studies,
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545 and
J. Stefan Institute, University of Ljubljana, 61111 Ljubljana, Slovenia
(Received February 1, 2008)

An equal time version of odd-frequency pairing for a generalized $t-J$ model is introduced. It is shown that the composite operators describing binding of Cooper pairs with magnetization fluctuations naturally appear in this approach. The pairing correlations in both BCS and odd-frequency channels are investigated exactly in 1D systems with up to 16 sites. Our results indicate that at some range of parameters odd-frequency correlations become comparable, however smaller than BCS pairing correlations. It is speculated that the spin and density fluctuations in the frustrated model lead to the enhancement of the *odd* gap susceptibilities.

PACS Nos 74.20-z;74.65+n

The odd-frequency gap (*odd* gap hereafter) originally proposed for spin triplet pairing by Berezinskii [1], and recently extended on a spin singlet pairing [2], was the subject of a recent investigation using the Monte Carlo method on the Hubbard model [3]. The motivation for the current study was the observation that *odd* pairing can be a possible pairing channel in strongly correlated systems [4].

As it was shown in [5], the *odd* gap can be represented in another form as an equal-time (i.e. even in frequency) composite four fermion operator constructed out of equal time pairing field operator and a magnetization operator (generally a particle-hole operator). The total charge of this four fermion composite operator, i.e. the charge with which this object transforms under electromagnetic $U(1)$, remains $q = 2e$ as it should. This representation offers further insight into the nature of condensate of *odd* pairing.

To explore the possible existence of the odd-frequency gap SC condensate in strongly correlated systems, we compared quantitatively the *odd* and BCS pairing correlations in the recently proposed 1D $t-J$ model in a staggered h field [6,7].

One of the important advantages of studying 1D vs 2D models is that in 1D case we can numerically investigate much larger systems and thus get information about pairing correlations at larger distances. For example, in this study we report on a numerical calculation of both BCS and *odd* pairing correlations in 1D system with up to 16 sites. This should be compared with a typical Monte Carlo calculations in 2D of 6×6 sites. Large system size allows us to find pairing correlations at much greater distances. To make the physics of this particular 1D model closer to real 2D physics we imposed the external staggered magnetic filed. It has been shown in [6] that the external staggered field h in 1D simulates some 2D effects otherwise not characteristic for ordinary 1D $t-J$ model. More precisely, it induces a longer range antiferromagnetic ordering which leads to the spin-string phenomenon [8], strong mass renormalization [9] and formation of bound hole pairs [10]. The phase diagram of the $t-J-h$ model shows three distinct regions [7]. When $J < J_c(h)$ there are no bound states, when $J_c(h) < J < J_s(h)$ system consists of bound hole pairs and when $J > J_c(h)$ phase separation into a hole-rich and spin-rich phase occurs. Furthermore, since $h \neq 0$ destroys the spin-rotation invariance of the system and induces a gap in the spectrum of magnetic excitations, the model belongs to the Luter Emery universality class [11]. The charge exponent K_ρ, which determines the behavior of correlation functions at large distances, was proven to be $K_\rho > 1$ in a wide, physically relevant regime of parameters, indicating on dominant SC correlations [7].

In this paper we will report on a comparative study of *even* (i.e. BCS) and *odd* pairing correlations in spin singlet and triplet channels in 1D $t-J-h$ model [6,7], using Lanczos exact diagonalization method. First, we will derive an equal time version of *odd* gap and show that: a)

equal time order parameter describes the condensat
composite operator, which is the product of equal
pair field and magnetization operator; b) the equal
version of spin triplet *odd* field operator is even ($P =$
under parity transformation of relative coordinates a
represented by a product of both spin singlet and tri
equal time pairing field and appropriate componen
magnetization operator (see below). The spin singlet
operator can be written as a product of magnetiza
operator and equal time spin triplet pairing fields
is odd ($P = -1$) under spatial inversion. These feat
agree with general symmetry requirements for *odd* si
and triplet gaps [1,2]. Next, we compare pairing cor
tions in BCS and *odd* channel. The BCS correlation
always large in comparison with *odd* correlations in
$t-J-h$ model at small hole-doping. However, in
extended $t-t'-J-h$ model with next nearest ne
bors hopping amplitude t', the *odd* pairing correla
increase and become comparable with the BCS pa
correlations.

The main conclusion of this study is that in the
$t-J-h$ model the *odd* gap correlations are generi
small. However, in the extended $t-t'-J-h$ m
these correlation are increased substantially (by on
der of magnitude). Moreover they become of the s
order as the largest BCS correlations for a particular
of t'. From our numerical calculations it also unamb
ously follows that the *odd* pairing correlation lengt
increasing rapidly with increased hole doping, in cont
to suppression of the BCS correlation length. This c
indicate that *odd* pairing becomes the dominant pa
channel close to phase separation region, where par
and spin density fluctuations are strongly enhanced

We start with the hamiltonian of a generalized
$t-J-h$ model [6] in the following form

$$H = -t\sum_{i\alpha}(\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha}\tilde{c}_{i+1,\alpha} + H.c.) - t'\sum_{i\alpha}(\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\alpha}\tilde{c}_{i+2,\alpha} +$$

$$+ J\sum_{i}(\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} - \tfrac{1}{4}n_i n_{i+1}) - h\sum_{i}(-1)^i S^z_i,$$

where $\tilde{c}_{i,\alpha} = c_{i,\alpha}(1 - n_{i,-\alpha})$ is projected fermionic
erator onto the space of singly occupied sites, n_i is
corresponding fermion number operator, $\vec{S}_i$ is a spi
erator, h is the strength of the external staggered f
and the t' term represents the next-neighbor hopping
simplify our notation we omit in the rest of the pape
˜ sign above creation and annihilation operators.

First we consider a general two-particle gap funct

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}, 2\tau) = \langle T_\tau c_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}(\tau) c_{\beta,-\mathbf{k}}(-\tau) \pm (\tau \rightarrow -\tau)\rangle,$$

where T_τ is Matsubara time ordering operator and w
sume pairing in the center of mass momentum zero.
constructed the gap function in (2) to be explicitly
(+ sign) or odd (− sign) in the imaginary time τ.
recently suggested [2,1], the only requirement for pa
in the singlet channel is that the gap function $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}($

is even under simultaneous space and imaginary-time reversal, i.e. $\mathbf{k} \to -\mathbf{k}, \tau \to -\tau$. Consequently, in the case of the singlet pairing, the gap function can be either even in both space and imaginary-time, leading to the conventional BCS gap, or can be odd in both space and imaginary-time, leading to the *odd* gap. Furthermore, in the case of triplet pairing the gap function must be odd under simultaneous space and imaginary-time reversal again leading to two solutions: a conventional BCS where gap function is even in τ and odd in k or *odd* gap, which is odd in τ and even in k.

We are mainly interested in the lowest order contributions to the gap in the relative pair-field time 2τ. Then, assuming analyticity of $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ in small τ, we have

$$\Delta^{even}_{\alpha\beta} = \Delta^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2) \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta^{odd}_{\alpha\beta} = 2\tau\Delta^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3), \tag{4}$$

where the equal-time contribution in Eq.(3) corresponds to the *even* gap, which is the conventional BCS gap, whereas the higher order corrections in τ generate further multi-particle dressing. By definition there is no zeroth order term in τ (i.e. equal-time pairing) term in the case of *odd* gap Eq.(4). To calculate the lowest equal time pairing field associated with odd-pairing we take the time derivative of the gap function

$$\frac{\partial\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},2\tau)}{\partial\tau}|_{\tau=0} = \Delta^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},0) = \langle \dot{c}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}c_{\beta,-\mathbf{k}} - c_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}\dot{c}_{\beta,-\mathbf{k}}\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where $c_{\gamma,\mathbf{p}}, \dot{c}_{\gamma,\mathbf{p}}$ are calculated at $\tau = 0$.

The odd-τ pair-field operator can be written in the following form

$$\Delta \propto \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k})(\dot{c}_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}c_{\beta,-\mathbf{k}} - c_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}\dot{c}_{\beta,-\mathbf{k}}). \tag{6}$$

We are still free to choose $\Delta^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k})$ to be an even (odd) ($P = \pm 1$) under space transformation $\mathbf{k} \to -\mathbf{k}$, thus obtaining a triplet (singlet) *odd* gap pair-field operator. To rewrite Eq. (6) in a more explicit form we proceed by calculating equations of motion for a Hamiltonian of $t - t' - J - h$ model in the r-space

$$\dot{c}_{i,\alpha} = -\vec{M}_i\vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}c_{i,\beta} - h(-1)^i\sigma^z_{\alpha\alpha}c_{i,\alpha} + t(c_{i-1,\alpha} + c_{i+1,\alpha}) + t'(c_{i-2,\alpha} + c_{i+2,\alpha}), \tag{7}$$

with $\vec{M}_i = J(\vec{S}_{i-1} + \vec{S}_{i+1})$ and $\vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}$ are Pauli matrices. Assuming that the gap function $\Delta^{(1)}_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k)$ is $\sin k$ in the case of *odd* singlet pairing and $\cos k$ for the $S_z = 0$ triplet and that $\Delta^{(1)}_{\uparrow\uparrow}(k) = \cos k$ for $S_z = 1$ triplet, we obtain after a Fourier transformation from Eq. (7) and Eq. (5) the following compact form of *odd* singlet and triplet pairing operators:

$$\Delta^{odd}_{singlet}(r_i) \propto \left(\vec{S}_{i-1} + \vec{S}_{i+2}\right)(\sigma^y\vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta}\, c_{i,\alpha}c_{i+1,\beta}$$

$$\Delta^{odd}_{triplet,S_z=0}(r_i) \propto \left(\vec{S}_{i-1}\,(\sigma^y\vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta} - \vec{S}_{i+2}\,(\sigma^y\vec{\sigma})_{\beta\alpha}\right) \times c_{i,\alpha}c_{i+1,\beta}$$

$$\Delta^{odd}_{triplet,S_z=\pm 1}(r_i) \propto \left(\vec{S}_{i-1}\,(\vec{\sigma} \pm \sigma^z\vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta} - \vec{S}_{i+2}\,(\vec{\sigma} \pm \right. \times c_{i,\alpha}c_{i+1,\beta}$$

In deriving Eqs. (8,9,10) the terms proportional to
and h drop out, since they contribute only to the
pairing operators.

It is instructive to investigate the composite struc
of *odd* pairing operator from Eqs. (8,9,10) more clo
Consider $\Delta^{odd}_{singlet}$, which is a product of magnetiza
operator $(\vec{S}_{i-1} + \vec{S}_{i+2})$ with the BCS spin triplet p
ing field $\vec{\Delta}^{even}_{triplet} \propto (\sigma^y\vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta}\, c_{i,\alpha}c_{i+1,\beta}$. Since produc
these two operators form a scalar in spin space, it im
diately follows that $\Delta^{odd}_{singlet}$ is indeed a singlet. The
tial parity of composite operator is the product of pa
of magnetization operator $P_{magn} = +1$: at $i - 1 \leftrightarrow$
we have $(\vec{S}_{i-1} + \vec{S}_{i+2}) \leftrightarrow (\vec{S}_{i-1} + \vec{S}_{i+2})$ and the parit
$\vec{\Delta}^{even}_{triplet}$, which is $P_{BCS} = -1$. Thus it follows that
composite *odd* operator from Eq. (8) represents the
parity $P = -1$ spin singlet pairing filed. The analo
consideration can be done for *odd* triplet operator as

From the structure of compo
operators Eqs. (8,9,10) it is clear that the *odd* pai
correlations will be suppressed due to phase space
strictions. However, there is an important caveat:
to the phase separation region in the $t - J$ model,
and density fluctuations are strongly enhanced at in
mediate length scale [12]. A snapshot of the system
show metallic regions with a large density of holes
antiferromagnetic regions with less holes. These fluc
tions are soft and their spectral density $A(\omega)$ has a st
peak at small frequencies. Under these circumstances
soft spin boson attached to the Cooper pair in the
posite operator is readily available in the system and
phase space restrictions become less important.

From this argument we should expect that the
pairing susceptibilities will increase with frustratio
$t - J$ model. We indeed find some enhancement of
pairing correlations in a frustrated $t - t' - J$ mode
we will show below.

We will now turn to our numerical results. We so
the $t - J - h$ model on a chain with periodic bou
ary conditions using the standard Lanczos techni
We restricted our calculations to the systems with
16 $N_h = 2$, $N = 14$ $N_h = 4$ and $N = 12$ $N_h = 6$, w
N represents the number of sites and N_h the numbe
holes in the system. In all cases investigated the gro
state has the quantum numbers $S_z = 0, k = 0$. N
that due to the staggered external field the total sp
no longer a good quantum number.

We searched for the most favorable pairing channe
ing the equal-time pair-field susceptibility in the follo

form

$$P(r) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \Delta^\dagger(r_i + r)\Delta(r_i)\rangle}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \Delta^\dagger(r_i)\Delta(r_i)\rangle}, \qquad (11)$$

where we took for $\Delta(r_i)$ the *odd* gap, Eqs. (8,9,10) or BCS gap pairing fields. We used a standard definition for the BCS pairing fields: $\Delta^{BCS}(r_i) \propto c_{\uparrow,i}c_{\downarrow,i+1} \pm c_{\downarrow,i}c_{\uparrow,i+1}$ where - (+) represents singlet (triplet) $S_z = 0$ pairing field and $\Delta^{BCS}_{trilet,S_z=1}(r_i) = c_{\uparrow,i}c_{\uparrow,i+1}$ is a triplet $S_z = 1$ pairing-field.

In Fig. (1) we present density-density correlation functions $g(r) = \sum_i \langle n_{h,i} n_{h,i+r}\rangle$, where $n_{h,i} = 1 - n_i$, in the system of $N = 14$ sites and $N_h = 4$ holes at $h/t = 1.0$ and two different values of J. At $J/t = 0.5$ (open circles) $g(r)$ exhibits a peak at the largest possible distance taking into account periodic boundary conditions $r_m = N/2$ indicating that no bound hole-pairs are in the system. However, at a greater value of $J/t = 2.25$ there are two peaks in $g(r)$ at $r = 1$ and $r = r_m$ which are consistent with the picture of two separate bound hole-pairs.

In Fig. (2a,b) BCS (open symbols) and *odd* gap (filled symbols) pairing susceptibilities P are shown as functions of distance r between pairs for the same choice of parameters as in Fig. (1). At $J/t = 0.5$, Fig. (2a), where there are no bound pairs in the system, pairing susceptibilities rapidly decay with the distance. This rapid decay is also in agreement with the value of the charge exponent K_ρ being less than unity [7]. In Fig. (2b) we present pairing susceptibilities at $J/t = 2.25$. We observe strong enhancement of the BCS pairing susceptibilities at larger distances, however, there is no major enhancement in the *odd* gap channel. The enhancement of the pairing susceptibilities is consistent with the formation of bound hole-pairs, enhancement of the charge exponent $K_\rho > 1$ and the proximity of the phase separation [7].

In our search for a possible enhancement of *odd* gap pairing susceptibilities we also included a second-neighbour hopping term t'/t. The effect of the next-neighbor hopping is strongly asymmetric. Negative $t'/t < 0$ leads to: a substantial spin-charge coupling (nearly absent in the 1D $t - J$ model), formation of ferromagnetic polarons and finally phase separation [13]. Since finite $h > 0$ enhances antiferromagnetic type of spin ordering, we argue that $t'/t < 0$ induces intability in the $t - t' - J - h$ model. On the contrary, the effect of $t'/t > 0$ on the pairing correlations is much less pronounced. In Fig. (2) we present the comparison of largest pairing susceptibilities in the BCS and *odd* gap channel at $J/t = 1.5, h/t = 1.5$ and two different values of $t'/t = 0, -0.3$. Clearly there is an opposite, weak effect of t' on pairing susceptibilities. On the one hand, the BCS pairing correlations diminish when t' is switched on; on the other hand, we observe small but consistent increase of *odd* gap susceptibility at large distances.

Finally, we investigated the effect of doping on pairing in *odd* and BCS channel. To present results in a more compact form we define two quantities: P_Σ, representing a sum over pairing off-diagonal susceptibil
$P_\Sigma = \sum_r |P(r)|/N$, where we choose $r \geq 1$ to a
overlap of nonlocal operators with themselves.
sider also the first moment of $P(r)$ which is def
as $\xi = \sum_r r|P(r)|/N \sum_r |P(r)|$ and gives the cor
tion length of superconducting fluctuations. In T
we present results for P_Σ and ξ for three different
tems with $N = 16$ $N_h = 2, N = 14$ $N_h = 4$
$N = 12$ $N_h = 6$, at $J/t = 1.0, h/t = 1.0$ and $t' = 0$.
presented P_Σ correspond to singlet pairing in both
and BCS channel, since at given parameters singlet
ing susceptibilities yield maximum values of P_Σ.
P_Σ is increasing with hole-doping $\eta = N_h/N$ in
channels, the correlation length ξ displays different
havior. In the BCS channel ξ first decreases while
doping decreases from $\eta = 2/16 = 0.125$ to $4/14 \simeq 0$
and then slightly increases at $\eta = 6/12 = 0.5$. In
odd channel ξ exhibits more than a twofold monot
increase with doping.

As was argued in the second paragraph
Eqs. (8,9,10), the substantial increase in ξ with do
in the *odd* channel of the $t - J - h$ model is the co
quence of the strongly enhanced spin fluctuations at
frequencies. This result might indicate that frustra
due to hole-doping leads to a long-range order in the
pairing channel.

In conclusion, we derived composite operators desc
ing the condensate of superconductor with *odd* gap
generalized $t - J$ model. These composite operator
ways involve a bound state of Cooper pair and a pr
combination of magnetization operators. Due to
presence of an extra spin 1 boson in composite oper
the parity in *odd* gap is opposite to that in BCS case,
odd parity singlet in *odd* case (see Eq. (8)) vs. even
ity singlet in BCS. The BCS and *odd* pairing correlat
were investigated numerically for the 1D $t - t' - J$ m
in external staggered field. The enhancement of the
correlations coincides with the formation of bound
pairs and with charge exponent being $K_\rho > 1$ in
$t - J - h$ model. It is shown that at small hole doping
when $t' = 0$ the strongest *odd* pairing correlations ar
ways smaller then strongest BCS correlations. Howe
despite the phase space restrictions, the *odd* correlat
increase substantially with hole doping and when t'
in a *frustrated* $t - t' - J - h$ model. We argue that do
and frustration create additional soft spin fluctuat
what makes *odd* correlations more pronounced, whe
BCS correlations are suppressed by doping.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to authors of
[5] for useful discussions. One of the authors (J.B.)
efited from discussions with P. Prelovšek and is in
ticular grateful to I. Sega who suggested the com
form of *odd* gap pairing correlations, presented in
paper. This work was supported by J. R. Oppenhe
fellowship (A.B) and by Department of Energy. Pa
this work was done at Aspen Center for Physics, w
support is acknowledged.

---

[1] V.L. Berezinskii, JETP Lett. **20**, 287 (1974); [ZhETP Pisma **20**, 628 (1974)].

[2] A.V. Balatsky and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13125 (1992).

[3] N. Balut, D.J. Scalapino and S.R. White, preprint UCSBTH-92-26, unpublished.

[4] E. Abrahams et. al., Phys. Rev. B**47**, 513, (1993)

[5] E. Abrahams, A.V. Balatsky, D.J. Scalapino and J.R. Schrieffer, unpublished.

[6] J. Bonča, P. Prelovšek, I. Sega, H.Q. Lin and D.K. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 526 (1992)

[7] P. Prelovšek, I. Sega, J. Bonča, H.Q. Lin and D.K. Campbell, preprint

[8] L. N. Bulaevskii, E. L. Nagaev, and D. I. Khomskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **54**, 1562 (1968) [Sov. Phys. JETP **27**, 836 (1968)]; W. F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B **2**, 1324 (1970).

[9] S. A. Trugman, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 1597 (1992); B. I. Shraiman and E. D. Siggia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 740 (1988); C. L. Kane, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 6880 (1989).

[10] J. Bonča, P. Prelovšek, and I. Sega, Phys. Rev. B**39**, 7074 (1989); H. Feschke, V. Waas, H. Röder, and H. Büttner, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 8473 (1991).

[11] V. J. Emery, in *Highly Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, ed. J. T. Devreese et al. (Plenum, 1979), p. 247; J.Sólyom, Adv. Phys. **28**, 201 (1979).

[12] V.J. Emery and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B**46**, 10812 (1992), V.J. Emery and S. Kivelson, preprint (1992).

[13] P. Prelovšek, A. Ramšak and I. Sega, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 11795 (1991); I. Sega, P. Prelovšek and J. Bonča, preprint;

TABLE I. Values for P_Σ and correlation length ξ for singlet BCS and singlet *odd* pairing correlations are given for three different values of doping $\eta = 0.125, 0.286, 0.5$ in $t - J - h$ model at $J/t = 1.0, h/t = 1.0$. The pairing correlations in triplet channel are smaller.

	BCS		ODD	
η	P_Σ	ξ	P_Σ	ξ
0.125	0.0369	0.4487	0.0318	0.1840
0.286	0.0840	0.2615	0.0537	0.2102
0.500	0.1924	0.2864	0.0838	0.4100

FIG. 1. Hole-density correlation function $g(r)$ vs. dist
r in the $t - J - h$ model, for $N = 14, N_h = 4$ and two diffe
values of J/t.

FIG. 2. Pairing susceptibilities P vs. distance r in
$t - J - h$ model. Parameters of the model are the same
the Fig. (1). Note, that the legend in Fig. (2a) is also
for Fig. (2b). Pairing susceptibilities are presented onl
$r \geq 2$ since at smaller distances pairs overlap.

FIG. 3. The largest pairing susceptibilities in the BCS
odd channel in the $t - t' - J - h$ model for $N = 14, N_h = 4$.
cles present *odd* singlet pairing, squares present BCS tr
$S_z = 0$ pairing.